

**BY ORDER OF THE SECRETARY OF THE  
AIR FORCE**



**AIR FORCE INSTRUCTION 32-7005**

**25 FEBRUARY 1994**

**PACIFIC AIR FORCES COMMAND  
Supplement 1**

**15 MARCH 2002**

**Civil Engineering**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
COMMITTEES**

**COMPLIANCE WITH THIS PUBLICATION IS MANDATORY**

---

**NOTICE:** This publication is available digitally on the AFDPO WWW site at:  
<http://www.e-publishing.af.mil>.

---

OPR: HQ USAF/CEVP (Mr Jack Bush)  
Supersedes AFR 19-8, 19 August 1988.

Certified by: HQ USAF/CEV (Col Peter Walsh)  
Pages: 21  
Distribution: F

---

This instruction implements AFR 32-70, *Environmental Quality*, by establishing Environmental Protection Committees (EPC) to ensure a systematic, interdisciplinary approach to achieve and maintain environmental quality in the Air Force.

**(PACAF)** This supplement establishes requirements for environmental reporting for all PACAF Environmental Protection Committees. This publication does not apply to the Air National Guard or US Air Force Reserve units and members.

**SUMMARY OF REVISIONS**

This is the initial publication of AFI 32-7005, substantially revising AFR 19-8. It aligns with AFR 32-70.

**(PACAF)** This supplement is intended to update ESORTS by changing some criteria and by dropping measurement where criteria are no longer a Department of Defense, Air Force, or PACAF goal. A “|” indicates revised material since the last edition.

**AFI 32-7005, 25 February 1994, is supplemented as follows:**

Section A	How To Use This Instruction	3
1.	Background. ....	3
2.	Concept: .....	3
3.	Responsibilities and Air Force EPC Membership. ....	3
Section B	Requirements and Records	4

4.	Requirements: .....	4
5.	Preparing Minutes. ....	5
<b>Attachment 1 (Added-PACAF)— ESORTS CRITERIA</b>		<b>7</b>
<b>Attachment 2 (Added-PACAF)— SAMPLE ESORTS RATING FORMAT</b>		<b>18</b>
<b>Attachment 3 (Added-PACAF)—ESORTS NARRATIVE (RCS: PAF-CEV(Q)9101)</b>		<b>21</b>

### *Section A—How To Use This Instruction*

**1. Background.** As the senior Air Force environmental steering group, the EPC reviews policies and programs, monitors progress, and advises leadership. EPCs oversee compliance with AFD 32-70 and its implementing instructions.

**2. Concept:**

2.1. This instruction is intended to provide major commands (MAJCOM) and installations with a framework to oversee the Air Force environmental program according to AFD 32-70.

2.2. MAJCOMs provide additional implementing guidance in supplemental publications to this instruction. The MAJCOM supplement must identify responsible offices and include implementing guidance to comply with this instruction.

**3. Responsibilities and Air Force EPC Membership.** Each of the following offices identifies, in writing, a primary member (a general officer or a civilian of similar status, if available), and an alternate member to the HQ USAF EPC. EPC members ensure that their areas of responsibility are considered in the interdisciplinary approach required to ensure proper consideration of environmental quality. The chairs may ask other experts to join the EPC, as needed.

3.1. Headquarters United States Air Force (HQ USAF):

- The Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Manpower, Reserve Affairs, Installations, and Environment (SAF/MI) representative and the Assistant Vice Chief of Staff (HQ USAF/CVA) Co-Chair the EPC.
- The Assistant Secretary for Acquisition (SAF/AQ).
- The Assistant Secretary for Budget (SAF/FM).
- The General Counsel (SAF/GC).
- The Inspector General (SAF/IG).
- Office of Legislative Liaison (SAF/LL).
- Office of Public Affairs (SAF/PA).
- The Civil Engineer (HQ USAF/CE) is the EPC Executive Secretary.
- Deputy Chief of Staff (DCS) Logistics (HQ USAF/LG).
- Director, Programs and Evaluation (HQ USAF/PE).
- DCS Plans and Operations (HQ USAF/XO).
- Chief of Safety (HQ USAF/SE).
- The Judge Advocate General (HQ USAF/JA).
- DCS Personnel (HQ USAF/DP).
- Services (HQ USAF/MW).
- DCS Command, Control, Communications and Computers (HQ USAF/SC).
- The Surgeon General (HQ USAF/SG).
- Chief of Air Force Reserve (HQ USAF/RE).

- Director, Air National Guard (NGB/CF).
- Director, Air Force Base Conversion Agency (AFBCA/DR).

3.2. MAJCOM Responsibilities. All references to MAJCOMs in this instruction include the Air National Guard Readiness Center and other agencies designated as "MAJCOM equivalent" by HQ USAF. The MAJCOM EPC membership will mirror those of HQ USAF. The vice commander is the chairperson, or will designate a general officer to serve as the EPC chairperson. Each staff office designates, in writing, a primary and an alternate member with decisionmaking authority to serve on the EPC. The EPC chairperson designates other members to serve on the EPC as required. MAJCOMs will ensure EPCs are established at installations.

3.3. Installation Responsibilities. Air Force installations must comply with the EPC requirements outlined in [Section B](#). In addition, the installation EPC membership and responsibilities will mirror that of the MAJCOM EPC. The EPC must include representatives from tenant organizations, including the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office and the Army and Air Force Exchange Service. For closure bases, the operating location site manager of the Air Force Base Conversion Agency (AFBCA) is a member. Contractors operating government facilities may not serve as members.

## ***Section B—Requirements and Records***

### **4. Requirements:**

#### 4.1. EPCs:

- Ensure a systematic, interdisciplinary approach to environmental quality and integrate this approach into planning and decision-making.
- Act as the primary executive steering group for all environmental cleanup, compliance, conservation and pollution prevention.

#### 4.2. HQ USAF EPC:

- Meets at least semi-annually or at the direction of the chairperson.
- Reviews adequacy of policies, resources and performance in meeting environmental goals and makes recommendations on changes required.
- Reviews environmental legislation and regulations and approves implementing policies.

#### 4.3. MAJCOM and Installation EPCs:

- Meet at least quarterly or at the direction of the chairperson.
- Review and approve environmental impact analysis on proposed actions and forwards to the decision-maker.
- Review environmental policy, resources, and performance and make recommendations on changes required.
- Ensure appropriate training and manpower exist to meet environmental responsibilities.

**4.3.1. (PACAF)** The Air Force complies with environmental standards and laws to be a good steward of the resources it uses. Prudent management of these resources ensures long-term access to the air, land, and water the Air Force needs to sustain mission capability. PACAF's Environmental Status of Readiness and Training System (ESORTS) measures compliance with federal and state environmental regulations, as well as DoD and USAF instructions. Through ESORTS,

PACAF ensures environmental performance goals established by the DoD and the USAF are met at its installations. Meeting performance goals is key to preserving and building on the exemplary trust and confidence PACAF has forged in its environmental programs.

**4.3.1.1. (PACAF)** Submit the quarterly ESORTS report (RCS: PAF-CEV(Q)9101) validated by the Environmental Protection Committee (EPC) to HQ PACAF/CE 15 days following the end of the calendar quarter using the format at .

**4.3.1.2. (PACAF)** ESORTS report shall consist of ratings and narratives explaining any rating below E-1. A format for reporting the ratings is at . Format for the narratives is at [Attachment 3 \(Added\)](#).

**4.3.1.3. (PACAF)** ESORTS assesses each of the four pillars in the environmental program – Compliance, Pollution Prevention, Conservation Resources, and Restoration. Rating consists of the Commander’s Assessment, Program Ratings, and Contributing Ratings.

**4.3.1.3.1. (PACAF)** The Commander’s Assessment is the Wing Commander’s assessment for each of the four main pillars and an overall environmental program rating. The pillar rating should be based on the set of Program ratings and adjusted as appropriate by the Wing Commander. The Commander will also project the pillar rating for the next two quarters. For example: If a pillar had four Program Ratings of “E-2” and one Program Rating of “E-3”, the overall pillar rating would normally be “E-2” unless the Wing Commander felt it appropriate to adjust the rating. Commander’s overall environmental rating is like the pillar ratings. It is up to the commander or whoever recommends a rating to the commander to come up with an overall rating. If there is one E-3 pillar rating and three E-1s, the commander could choose an E-1 or E-2 overall rating, depending on the circumstances. If a particular area has all E-2's and E-3's, and the commander decides the program is E-1 anyway, then the message sent is "we aren't meeting requirements, but overall I'm not worried and can stand the risk. Put your focus elsewhere." This should be the commander's judgment of how the program is doing based on the facts, risks and vulnerabilities perceived.

**4.3.1.3.2. (PACAF)** Contributing ratings indicate the subordinate areas that are important to that particular pillar of the program. These subordinate areas identify problems and issues that need to be fixed before they degrade the respective Program Rating.

**4.3.1.3.3. (PACAF)** The ESORTS rating are as follows:

#### **E-RATING DEFINITION**

E-1 (Green) Meets Standard

E-2 (White) Minor Problems

E-3 (Yellow) Major Problems

E-4 (Red) Program Failure

**5. Preparing Minutes.** Within 30 days, the meeting secretary prepares minutes of EPC meetings. The minutes state the substance of all discussions and decisions.

- 5.1. Any open enforcement actions, unfulfilled compliance agreements, administrative orders, and similar enforcement actions must be part of the minutes. Identify these open items until they are closed.
- 5.2. Offices of primary responsibility must comment on all actions taken to resolve problems since the last meeting. The minutes summarize these comments.
- 5.3. Attachments to minutes will include letters from environmental regulatory agencies such as inspection reports; summaries of environmental standards exceeded; and current compliance schedules which indicate the base's or MAJCOM's progress in meeting schedules.
- 5.4. The executive secretary of the base EPC sends a copy of the minutes to the higher headquarters EPC, the appropriate Air Force Regional Compliance Office, all staff and other interested parties.
- 5.5. The executive secretary keeps EPC minutes and related documents for at least 10 years, per AFI 37-138, *Records Disposition--Responsibilities and Procedures* (formerly AFR 12-50, volume 1).

JAMES E. McCARTHY, Maj General, USAF  
The Civil Engineer

**Attachment 1 (Added-PACAF)****ESORTS CRITERIA*****ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROGRAM CRITERIA***

E-LEVEL NOTICE OF VIOLATIONS (NOV), ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS (EA), HOST NATION EA EQUIVALENTS (HNEA)

- E-1 All NOV/EA/HNEAs carried as open from previous quarter(s) and closed within the current quarter (ESORTS reporting period), all NOV/EA/HNEAs received within current quarter (ESORTS reporting period) and closed within current quarter, or no open NOV/EA/HNEAs carried over from previous quarter(s) and none received within current quarter (ESORTS reporting period).
- E-2 Non-fineable EA/HNEA received within current quarter (ESORTS reporting period) or still open from previous quarter(s) and currently open at the end of the current quarter (ESORTS reporting period).
- E-3 Fineable NOV/EA/HNEA received within current quarter (ESORTS reporting period) or still open from previous quarter(s) and currently open at the end of the current quarter (ESORTS reporting period), but no fine or penalty issued within quarter.
- E-4 NOV/EA received within current quarter (ESORTS reporting period) or still open from previous quarter(s) and currently open at the end of the current quarter (ESORTS reporting period) with a fine or penalty issued and not yet resolved.

**NOTE:** For HNEAs use EPA criteria to determine if fines would apply.

**E-LEVEL UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS (USTs) AND ASSOCIATED PIPING**

- E-1 All regulated USTs are in compliance.
- E-2 All regulated USTs are identified and programmed for compliance by deadline (22 Dec 98 for US, 1 Oct 04 for Overseas).
- E-3 All regulated USTs have not been identified and programmed for compliance by deadline (22 Dec 98 for US, 1 Oct 04 for Overseas).
- E-4 Enforcement action.

**NOTE:** UST must be in compliance with following requirements:  
Corrosion protection, spill and overfill protection, release detection.

## E-LEVEL HAZARDOUS WASTE OPERATIONS

- E-1 95% of sites in full compliance with RCRA/FGS.
- E-2 90% of sites in full compliance with RCRA/FGS.
- E-3 80% of sites in full compliance with RCRA/FGS.
- E-4 <80% of sites in full compliance with RCRA/FGS.

**NOTE:** Sites include 90-day and satellite accumulation points, treatment, and storage facilities.

### ***ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE CONTRIBUTING CRITERIA***

## E-LEVEL EXECUTION RATE ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE (PE-12845/PE-27456)

	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
E-1 O&S Execution Rate:				
	>25%	>50%	>75%	100%

and Project Execution Performance:

All projects meet timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects via contract.

E-2 O&S Execution Rate:				
	>20%	>40%	>65%	>98%

and Project Execution Performance:

>75% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects via contract.

E-3 O&S Execution Rate:				
	>10%	>30%	>50%	>95%

and Project Execution Performance:

>50% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects via contract.



E-4 O&S Execution Rate:

<10%      <30%      <50%      <95%

or Project Execution Performance:

<50% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects via contract.

**NOTE:** Execution is derived from the sum of actual commitments plus actual obligations posted in DFAS.

E-LEVEL ENVIRONMENTAL, SAFETY, AND OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH COMPLIANCE  
ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM (ESOH CAMP) CLOSURE RATE

	1st QTR	2nd QTR	3rd QTR	4th QTR
E-1	30	50	75	85
E-2	22.5	42.5	57.5	65
E-3	15	30	40	50
E-4	<15	<30	<40	<50

For carryover findings >2yr, above ratings drop

one E rating worse    1-3 Major findings

two E ratings worse    4-6 Major findings

three E ratings worse    >6 Major findings

Note: For ESORTS ratings only, base E-rating on number of negative Environmental findings.

E-LEVEL SPILL RESPONSE

Based on availability of qualified personnel (expressed as X% of personnel qualified as required by established plans) and equipment (expressed as Y% of equipment available/operational as required by established plans):

$$Z = \frac{X + Y}{2} \%$$

E-1 Z = 90% or better.

E-2 Z = 80-90%

E-3 Z = 70-80%

E-4 Z = <70%

#### E-LEVEL ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE (EC) MANAGEMENT PLANS

E-1 All applicable plans complete and current

E-2 75% or more of applicable plans complete and current.

E-3 50% or more of applicable plans complete and current.

E-4 Less than 50% of applicable plans not complete or in need of update.

**NOTE:** Includes Spill Prevention, Control, & Countermeasures (SPCC); Oil & Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency; Hazardous Waste Management; Recoverable & Waste Petroleum Management; Poly-chlorinated Biphenyl Management (N/A if PCB Free); Asbestos Management; Asbestos Operations; and Facility Response Plans. Current means plans were reviewed within the past 12 months by base Environmental Protection Committee and for SPCC, plan was reviewed and certified by a registered Professional Engineer within the past 3 years.

#### E-LEVEL TRAINING

E-1 0-10% of open ECAMP findings with root cause attributed to training and awareness.

E-2 11-25% of open ECAMP findings with root cause attributed to training and awareness.

E-3 26-40% of open ECAMP findings with root cause attributed to training and awareness.

E-4 >40% of open ECAMP findings with root cause attributed to training and awareness.

**NOTE:** Includes all ECAMP findings with TC (Continuing Education), TM (Mandated) and TG (General Awareness) identified as root causes.

#### | E-LEVEL RATING CRITERION FOR ACTIVE RANGES

E-1 No limitations on range. Range is fully useful for required training and testing.

E-2 Range has minor limitations. These limitations affect range utility; all required functions can be exercised, but the utility is incomplete. This rating is to be used if future (one year out and further) limitations are expected, such as would occur with expected future encroachment problems, legal determinations, or other environmental problems.

- E-3 Range has major limitations. Some significant elements of the training plan cannot be exercised. These limitations can be related to time (only limited daily periods or seasonal periods can be made available) or training events (only a fraction of the required training events may be flown). This rating is to be used if future (within the next year) limitations are expected, such as would occur with expected future encroachment problems, legal determinations, or other environmental problems.
- E-4 Range is closed. Environmental and operational factors are so severely limiting that the range cannot be used.

### ***POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM CRITERIA***

#### **E-LEVEL      REDUCTION GOALS**

- E-1 Meets or exceeds all established goals.
- E-2 Does not meet 1 reduction goal.
- E-3 Does not meet 2 reduction goals.
- E-4 Does not meet 3 or more reduction goals.

**NOTE:** Goals include Solid Waste, Hazardous Waste, Hazardous Material, Pesticides and other published PACAF goals.

#### **E-LEVEL      HAZARDOUS MATERIAL MANAGEMENT PROGRAM**

Based on answering the following 9 questions:

- E-1 9 Yes answers.
- E-2 8 Yes answers.
- E-3 6 or 7 Yes answers.
- E-4 5 or less Yes answers.

1. Hazardous Materials Management Program (HMMP) Team formed with CE, LG, BE, SE and others as required – SC, JA, PA, etc.?

2. Is an Installation Operating Instruction published?

3. Do all installation wing and tenant units participate?
4. Authorization form (Form 3952, Form 5, etc.) complete for each HM request?
5. Defense Environmental Security Corporate Information Management (DESCIM) approved system – the Environmental Management Information System (EMIS) is in use and tracks all hazardous material (HM) sources of supply?
6. Is all contractor HM tracked through EMIS?
7. Are all HM IMPAC card and Form 9 purchases controlled?
8. Are reports complete using (DESCIM) System – EPA 17 quarterly report complete and ODC quarterly inventory reports?
9. Is a HM reduction program in place including a HM source reduction during authorization process and HM reuse program?

***POLLUTION PREVENTION CONTRIBUTING CRITERIA***

**E-LEVEL      POLLUTION PREVENTION MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN (MAP)**

- E-1      MAP based on an opportunity assessment/baseline survey complete and current.
- E-2      MAP available but overdue an annual update by six months or less.
- E-3      MAP available but overdue an annual update by greater than six months.
- E-4      No plan or assessment/survey.

**E-LEVEL      HAZARDOUS MATERIAL OPERATIONS**

- E-1      At least 95% of shops enrolled fully in EMIS with 100% Hazardous Materials authorized and maintained (IAW AFI 32-7086).
- E-2      At least 90% of shops enrolled fully in EMIS with 100% Hazardous Materials authorized and maintained (IAW AFI 32-7086).

- E-3 At least 80% of shops enrolled fully in EMIS with 100% Hazardous Materials authorized and maintained (IAW AFI 32-7086).
- E-4 Less than 80% of shops enrolled fully in EMIS with 100% Hazardous Materials authorized and maintained (IAW AFI 32-7086).

#### E-LEVEL EXECUTION RATE POLLUTION PREVENTION (PE-28854)

	1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
E-1 O&S Execution Rate:				
	>25%	>50%	>75%	100%

and Project Execution Performance:

All projects meet timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects via contract.

E-2 O&S Execution Rate:				
	>20%	>40%	>65%	>98%

and Project Execution Performance:

>75% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects

E-3 O&S Execution Rate:				
	>10%	>30%	>50%	>95%

and Project Execution Performance:

>50% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects

E-4 O&S Execution Rate:				
	<10%	<30%	<50%	<95%

or Project Execution Performance:

<50% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects

**NOTE:** Percent of execution is derived from the sum of actual commitments plus actual obligations posted in DFAS.

### ***CONSERVATION RESOURCES PROGRAM CRITERIA***

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ANALYSIS PROCESS (EIAP)**

- E-1 All EIAP documentation approved 30 days or more prior to pending action.
- E-2 Required EIAP documentation approved prior to starting action.
- E-3 Required EIAP documentation delaying action.
- E-4 Required EIAP documentation in progress after action has started.

#### **TRAINING AND EDUCATION**

- E-1 At least one person trained in all 3 fields within last 3 years.
- E-2 At least one person trained in 2 of 3 fields within last 3 years.
- E-3 At least one person trained in one of 3 fields within last 3 years.
- E-4 No training in Conservation Resource within last 3 years.

#### **SURVEY AND PLAN CURRENCY**

- E-1 All plans and surveys current.
- E-2 No more than 1 plan or survey out of currency.
- E-3 No more than 50% of plans and surveys out of currency.
- E-4 More than 50% of plans and surveys out of currency.

INCLUDES: Natural Resources, Cultural Resources, Pest Management, Recreation, Forestry, Wetlands, Floodplain, Threatened & Endangered Species (N/A overseas)

#### **THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES (TES) AND WETLANDS SURVEYS (N/A Overseas)**

- E-1 Surveys, inventories, and mapping complete/current for all potential acreage.
- E-2 All acreage surveyed, delineated, and mapped, but requires update.
- E-3 No survey, delineation, and mapping, but action underway.
- E-4 No survey, delineation, mapping and no action underway.

### ***CONSERVATION RESOURCES CONTRIBUTING CRITERIA***

#### **EXECUTION RATE CONSERVATION RESOURCES (PE28853)**

1st Qtr	2nd Qtr	3rd Qtr	4th Qtr
E-1 O&S Execution Rate:			
>25%	>50%	>75%	100%

and Project Execution Performance:

All projects meet timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects via contract.

E-2 O&S Obligation Rate:

>20%	>40%	>65%	>98%
------	------	------	------

and Project Execution Performance:

>75% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects

E-3 O&S Obligation Rate:

>10%	>30%	>50%	>95%
------	------	------	------

and Project Execution Performance:

>50% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects

E-4 O&S Obligation Rate:

<10%      <30%      <50%      <95%

or Project Execution Performance:

<50% of projects meeting timelines established by PACAF field guidance for the execution of CE projects

### ***RESTORATION PROGRAM CRITERIA***

LEGAL AGREEMENT/COMPLIANCE ORDERS/STATUTORY DEADLINE

REMEDIAL ACTION IN PLACE

- E-1 On schedule to meet milestone(s).
- E-2 Behind schedule but renegotiation/extension requested/underway.
- E-3 Behind schedule and renegotiation/extension has not been requested.
- E-4 Missed milestone(s).

REMEDIAL ACTION IN PLACE

- E-1 On schedule to have all high relative risk sites with remedial action in place by FY07.
- E-2 Behind schedule by 1 year.
- E-3 Behind schedule by two years.
- E-4 Behind schedule by three or more years.

### ***RESTORATION CONTRIBUTING CRITERIA***

#### **| EXECUTION RATE RESTORATION (PE 78008)**

Project Funding % (Does not include Management/Manpower):

	1st QTR	2nd QTR	3rd QTR	4th QTR
E-1	33	66	100	100
E-2	25	50	75	>98
E-3	16	33	50	>95
E-4	<16	<33	<50	<95



## I AFRIMS DATA QUARTERLY PROJECTIONS

Based on the 4 projection vs. actual charts produced in AFRIMS, the number of sites (graphs #1,2,3) plus installations (#4) that missed projection in the last quarter:

- E-1 No sites or installations missing projections for quarter
- E-2 1 to 3 sites or installations missing projections for quarter
- E-3 4 to 6 sites or installations missing projections for quarter
- E-4 More than 6 sites and installations missing projections for quarter.

**Attachment 2 (Added-PACAF)****SAMPLE ESORTS RATING FORMAT***99TH ABW**ANYBASE AB, ANYSTATE**ESORTS SUMMARY**COMMANDER'S ASSESSMENT**99th ABW**ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROGRAM*

	<b>PREVIOUS</b>	<b>CURRENT</b>	<b>3 MONTH</b>	<b>6 MONTH</b>
COMPLIANCE	E-2	E-2	E-1	E-1
POLLUTION PREVENTION	E-1	E-1	E-3	E-3
CONSERVATION RESOURCES	E-1	E-1	E-1	E-1
RESTORATION	E-2	E-1	E-1	E-1
OVERALL ENVIRONMENTAL	E-2	E-1	E-2	E-2

E-1 Meets Standards

E-2 Minor Problems

E-3 Major Problems

E-4 Program Failure

*99th ABW*  
*ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PROGRAM*

	PREVIOUS	CURRENT	GET WELL DATE
NOV/EA/HNEA	E-2	E-2	05 MAR 04
UST	E-1	E-1	
HAZ WASTE OPERS	E-1	E-1	
OBLIGATION RATE	E-1	E-1	
ECAMP CLOSURE	E-1	E-1	
SPELL RESPONSE	E-1	E-1	
MANAGEMENT PLANS	E-1	E-1	
TRAINING	E-1	E-3	01 JUN 03
ACTIVE RANGES	E-1	E-1	

*99th ABW*  
*POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM*

	PREVIOUS	CURRENT	GET WELL DATE
REDUCTION GOALS	E-1	E-1	
HAZ MATERIAL MGMT	E-2	E-2	15 APR 01
POLLUTION PREVENTION PLANS	E-1	E-1	
HAZ MATERIAL OPERATIONS	E-2	E-1	
EXECUTION RATE	E-1	E-1	

*CONSERVATION RESOURCES PROGRAM*

	<b>PREVIOUS</b>	<b>CURRENT</b>	<b>GET WELL DATE</b>
<b>EIAP</b>	E-1	E-1	
<b>TRAINING &amp; EDUCATION</b>	E-1	E-1	
<b>SURVEY &amp; PLAN</b>	E-2	E-2	12 AUG 02
<b>TES/WETLANDS SURVEY</b>	E-1	E-1	
<b>OBLIGATION RATE</b>	E-1	E-1	

*RESTORATION PROGRAM*

	<b>PREVIOUS</b>	<b>CURRENT</b>	<b>GET WELL DATE</b>
<b>LEGAL AGREEMENTS</b>	E-1	E-1	
<b>REMEDIAL ACTION IN PLACE</b>	E-1	E-1	
<b>QUARTERLY PROJECTIONS</b>	E-3	E-2	30 SEP 01
<b>OBLIGATION RATE</b>	E-2	E-1	

**Attachment 3 (Added-PACAF)****ESORTS NARRATIVE (RCS: PAF-CEV(Q)9101)**

Any rating below E-1 must be explained. The narratives must be forwarded to HQ PACAF/CE along with the Wing ESORTS ratings. Narratives shall follow the rating presentation order – Compliance, Pollution Prevention, Conservation, Restoration. The following format shall be used for the narratives:

99 th ABW WING NARRATIVE FOR (COMPLIANCE, PREVENTION, CONSERVATION RESOURCES, RESTORATION) PROGRAM.

A. COMMANDER'S ASSESSMENT. Wing Commander's explanation of a higher or a lower rating with projected get-well date to an E-1 rating.

**B. PROGRAM RATINGS.**

Criterion Name (e.g., Haz Materials Mgmt) – ESORTS Rating (e.g., E-3)

Problem: (State simply and concisely)

Corrective Action: (Give Milestones, Costs, Project Numbers, if applicable)

Get-Well Date: (Projected get-well date to an E-1 rating)

Criterion Name (UST Mgmt) – ESORTS Rating (e.g., E-3)

Problem: (State simply and concisely)

Corrective Action: (Give Milestones, Costs, Project Numbers, if applicable)

Get-Well Date: (Projected get-well date to an E-1 rating)

**C. CONTRIBUTING RATINGS.**

Criterion Name (UST Mgmt) – ESORTS Rating (e.g., E-3)

Problem: (State simply and concisely)

Corrective Action: (Give Milestones, Costs, Project Numbers, if applicable)

Get Well Date: (Projected get well date to an E-1 rating)